

Cc: bradsi  
Subject: Re: Win 3.1 compatible WinWord 1.1A  
Date: Thu Mar 21 17:59:20 1991

Well sproket gaged on this the first time, so lets try again.

>From aaronr Thu Mar 21 17:38:40 1991  
To: billg billn steveb  
Cc: bradsi davidcol philba  
Subject: Re: Win 3.1 compatible WinWord 1.1A  
Date: Thu Mar 21 17:33:24 1991

Name of someone on the opbu mail alias

>From xxxxx Thu Mar 21 16:39:54 1991  
To: opbu <-- Mail alias composed 100%

division  
PHONE

of people in the APPS  
as confirmed by doing a  
on them all.

Cc: xxxxxxx xxxxxxxx xxxxxxx xxxxxxxx xxxxxxx  
Subject: Win 3.1 compatible WinWord 1.1A  
Date: Thu Mar 21 16:35:47 1991

rip  
when  
the bug

As some of you may have heard, a bug in SDM causes WinWord 1.X to  
every time it paints certain controls (like on the ribbon or ruler)  
running under debug Win 3.1 . I've patched a copy of 1.1A to remove  
and placed it on:

\\xxxxx\xxxxx\winword\winword.exe

warranty  
on

I've played around with it and it works just fine (Naturally, no  
is expressed or implied :-). Those of you who are working with or  
Win 3.1 may want to snag this if you want to run winword.

toolsvr.

Win 3.1 guys, you may want to spread this around your group.  
xxxx, I don't know if you want to do something with what's on  
We may want to put a Win31 dir under the 11A release point with the  
patched version.

\*\*\*\*\*  
Pretending that I am a legal type person doing discovery type stuff I am  
rather inclined to say:

WinMail 1.2                    sharonh                    Fri Mar 22 07:32:59 1991                    Page: 2

MS7089819  
CONFIDENTIAL

EXH 61      DATE 5/17/02  
WITNESS Bartlett  
MARY W. MILLER

Microsoft applications division was in possession of pre release copies of Windows 3.10 well in advance of any non-Microsoft Windows ISVs thus giving the Microsoft Applications Division a substantial competitive advantage over any non-Microsoft Windows ISV.

Any statement that a "wall" of some kind exists between the Microsoft applications and systems divisions is obviously completely false.