



It may well make sense to go outside for this work. Several ISVs are working on, or already have, products that allow you to write 32-bit WinApps. They are not suitable for our purposes, however, because they don't use the PE .exe format, and they don't use the Win32 API set. The companies are Rational, Metaware, Phar Lap for sure, and I believe Watcom and Ergo also are in the running. It's a pretty well defined task, but if we're going to get bids we'd better be real clear on exactly what our acceptance criteria are.

Richard

127
From bradsi Thu Aug 15 16:58:43 1991
To: fredg gregw steveb
Subject: omg
Date: Thu, 15 Aug 91 16:58:39 PDT

I heard that at the recent OMG meeting, Borland made a motion to have OMG adopt the borland class libraries as the standard, and the motion wasn't even seconded! The guy who told me this said that he'd never seen a motion not seconded, and that people were pretty amused.

128
From bradsi Thu Aug 15 16:59:58 1991
To: w-erin w-maria
Cc: lizsi richab richt
Subject: backgrounder
Date: Thu, 15 Aug 91 16:59:53 PDT

We recently decided to start referring to Windows as an operating system in our communications, not a graphical environment or user interface for dos. we should be consistent in the new usage. thanks.

129
From bradsi Thu Aug 15 17:01:02 1991
To: davidcol lisacr
Subject: Re: Reg API change request from NT group
Date: Thu, 15 Aug 91 17:01:00 PDT

if the fix does not help win 3.1 at all, then we should let

X 207463
CONFIDENTIAL



MS-PCA 1178724
CONFIDENTIAL

EXH. 50 DATE 11/01/01
WITNESS Abel
SUSAN ZIELIE