

"other features" (50 points) where they got credit for their
ets (though they said they were bad) — a very good score — and
nly got satisfactory. Yet our applets are more generally useful
e write and paintbrush and terminal) plus MULTIMEDIA, PEN
NSIONS, and char map. No credit for us. Pretty transparent way
live them some extra points.

Non-responsive Material Redacted

From: Brad Silverberg
To: Ralph Lips
Subject: FW: OS/2 vs. windows performance
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 3:11PM

From: Gordon Letvin
To: Brad Silverberg; Mack Mocauley
Subject: OS/2 vs. windows performance
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 11:23AM

note that this ties in with my previous memo about Flatfat's improving
Windows disk I/O. It will improve it some because Flatfat is faster
than DOS/SmartDrv, but it will also improve windows multitasking by
a large degree since disk I/O will no longer claim the critical section
and be compute bound.

From: Brad Silverberg
To: Gordon Letvin
Subject: RE: OS/2 vs. windows performance
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 3:11PM

i am a fan of vist.

From: Gordon Letvin
To: Brad Silverberg; Mack Mocauley
Subject: OS/2 vs. windows performance
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 11:23AM

note that this ties in with my previous memo about Flatfat's improving
Windows disk I/O. It will improve it some because Flatfat is faster
than DOS/SmartDrv, but it will also improve windows multitasking by
a large degree since disk I/O will no longer claim the critical section
and be compute bound.

From: Brad Silverberg
To: Jan Claesson
Cc: Joachim Karpin; Steve Thompson; Theresa Schulz
Subject: RE: DRI/Novall - loadhi.vxd PART III

MS 5063068
CONFIDENTIAL

Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 3:15PM

tell him that they are on their own. they have hit a dead end. the support is built directly into 3.1.

From: Jan Claesson
To: Brad Silverberg
Cc: Joachim Kempin; Steve Thompson; Theresa Schulz
Subject: RE: DRI/Novell - Loadhi.vxd PART III
Date: Tuesday, June 09, 1992 9:52PM

Brad,
DRI is quite persistent and I know they are a sensitive "customer" for more than one reason.
I personally do not understand why we should give them any source(unless it is something we give to all ISVs) and if we were I'd expect us to put a specific agreement in place in terms of what can and cannot be done with the source.

Pls advice what you'd like us to do with DRI as they keep on pushing. One rout could be that we license them some source via the OEM division?

Jan

From: Steve Thompson
To: Jan Claesson
Cc: Theresa Schulz
Subject: DRI/Novell - Loadhi.vxd PART III
Date: Tuesday, June 09, 1992 12:08

Hi Jan,

John Constant from DRI/Novell called again today asking about the source for loadhi.vxd. I've told before that these services have been integrated into the operating system in Win31 and therefore, we would not release the sources.
He's still got two issues;

- 1.) He claims that there is a newer version (newer than the one we sent him) of loadhi for Win3.0 and he wants it.
- 2.) If weren't not supplying loadhi sources for Win3.1, what are we supplying? How are other third party Mem Mgr developers like Qualitas accessing these services (i.e. instancing data in UMBs)? My guess is that they're just running the 3.1 dos extender as a vcpi client but this kind of voodoo is out of my league.

Let me know how you want me to handle this.
Thanks,
Steve

From: Brad Silverberg
To: Brad Chass
Subject: FW: DRI/Novell - Loadhi.vxd PART III
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 3:16PM

this amazes me that jancl still doesn't get it. he should just tell them to get lost, as I advised. they have hit a dead end, we are not going to help them.

From: Jan Claesson
To: Brad Silverberg
Cc: Joachim Kempin; Steve Thompson; Theresa Schulz
Subject: RE: DRI/Novell - Loadhi.vxd PART III
Date: Tuesday, June 09, 1992 9:52PM

Brad,
DRI is quite persistent and I know they are a sensitive "customer" for more than one reason.
I personally do not understand why we should give them any source(unless it is something we give to all ISVs) and if we were I'd expect us to put a specific agreement

MB 5063069
CONFIDENTIAL

MS-PCA 1115079
CONFIDENTIAL

place in terms of what can and cannot be done with the source.
advice what you'd like us to do with DRI as they keep on
ing. One route could be that we license them some source
the OEM division?

cc: Steve Thompson
cc: Jan Claesson
cc: Theresa Schulz
subject: DRI/Novell - Loadhi.vxd PART III
date: Tuesday, June 09, 1992 12:08

1 Jan.

John Constant from DRI/Novell called again today asking about the
source for
loadhi.vxd. I've told before that these services have been
integrated into the operating system in Win3.1 and therefore, we
could not release the sources.
He's still got two issues;

- 1.) He claims that there is a newer version (newer than the one we
sent him) of
loadhi for Win3.0 and he wants it.
- 2.) If weren't not supplying loadhi sources for Win3.1, what are we
supplying? How
are other third party Mem Mgr developers like Qualitas accessing
these services
(i.e. instancing data in UMSs)? My guess is that they're just
running the 3.1 dos
extender as a vcpi client but this kind of voodoo is out of my league.

Let me know how you want me to handle this.
Thanks,
Steve

From: Brad Silverberg
To: Erin Carney
Subject: RE: Fed Micro 92
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 3:20PM

I can't make it. try right. thanks.

From: Erin Carney
To: Brad Silverberg
Cc: Erin Carney
Subject: Fed Micro 92
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 1992 12:15PM

Hi Brad. I have a request from John Dickanson who is chairing
a panel at Fed Micro 92. His panel is entitled: "OS Wars: Is
there a new operating system in your future?" to be held on
Wednesday, August 5 from 10:15am-11:45am at the Washington
Convention Center in D.C. Brief desc: "In this session, the
benefits and requirements of each operating system are examined
and users are provided with a roadmap to navigate the operating
system decision-making process." John is looking for someone
to talk about Windows, he is wanting to get participants from IBM,
Apple and Next also.

This is the largest Federal microcomputer conference, last year
they had a tremendous turn-out for their two-day event. I
think our participation in this panel would be quite
worthwhile. Is there a chance you are available, or is there someone
you can suggest in your place. Let me know as soon as you can.
TRX! erincar

From: Brad Silverberg
To: Ben Sliva

MS 5063070
CONFIDENTIAL

MS-PCA 1115080
CONFIDENTIAL