

CONFIDENTIAL

Bruce Neiminen

From: David Cole  
To: Dave Kaplan; Ross Hunter; Scott Austin  
Cc: Scott Bullock  
Subject: RE: DR DOS  
Date: Friday, July 24, 1992 8:58AM

We did absolutely zero testing of Windows 3.1 on DR-DOS. Windows requires MS-DOS. (See the Windows readme file on this topic, we are pretty explicit.)

You should not test with DR-DOS. If they are a clone, they'll work, if not, then it's their problem.

[by the way, it's not the same as testing Novell networks. Novell is not trying to make a business of cloning our networks like they are with MS-DOS]

| From: Dave Kaplan  
| To: David Cole; Ross Hunter; Scott Austin  
| Cc: Scott Bullock  
| Subject: RE: DR DOS  
| Date: Friday, July 24, 1992 08:15AM

| seems like more of a windows issue.

| david do you guys test windows with dr-dos? (we need to decide  
| whether or not we should specifically test cirrus with dr-dos)

| From: Ross Hunter  
| To: Dave Kaplan; Scott Austin  
| Cc: Scott Bullock  
| Subject: RE: DR DOS  
| Date: Thursday, July 23, 1992 18:41

| I would think we want to test on it just as much as we want to test on Novell.

| Ross

| From: Scott Austin  
| To: Dave Kaplan; Ross Hunter  
| Cc: Scott Bullock  
| Subject: DR DOS  
| Date: Thursday, July 23, 1992 1:26PM

| Is there a corporate policy on testing our products on DR DOS?  
| That is, do we need to do it? I can see us taking the "it's  
| not our problem, DR DOS isn't MS-DOS compatible" attitude with  
| any bugs found.

CONFIDENTIAL

|— Scott  
|  
|

Page 689

X0606222

MS-PCA 1150728  
CONFIDENTIAL