

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 1:17 PM
To: Bill Veghte, Ed Stubbs, Andy Glass, Angus Cunningham, Raja Abburi, Sherrri Kennamer, Steve Bush, Ken Moss (Systems), Tonya Bruton, Richard Purcell, Chris Merritt (CTS Technical);
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy, Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

**Privileged Material
Redacted**

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 10:56 AM
To: Ed Stubbs, Autumn Neault (Womack), Andy Glass, Angus Cunningham, Raja Abburi, Sherrri Kennamer, Steve Bush, Ken Moss (Systems), Tonya Bruton, Richard Purcell, Chris Merritt (CTS Technical);
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy, Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

You be: the best solution is to avoid asking the question. Just want to make sure we can from legal/privacy/OEM perspective

-----Original Message-----
From: Ed Stubbs
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:57 AM
To: Bill Veghte, Autumn Neault (Womack), Andy Glass, Angus Cunningham, Raja Abburi, Sherrri Kennamer, Steve Bush, Ken Moss (Systems), Tonya Bruton, Richard Purcell, Chris Merritt (CTS Technical);
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy, Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

no, our customer is already unhappy they are pushed to register lets not add routing to the mix.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:35 AM
To: Autumn Neault (Womack), Andy Glass, Angus Cunningham, Raja Abburi, Sherrri Kennamer, Steve Bush, Ken Moss (Systems), Tonya Bruton, Richard Purcell, Chris Merritt (CTS Technical);
Cc: Ed Stubbs, Sanjay Parthasarathy, Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

In the registration screens do we need to ask the user whether they want to send info to MS and the OEM. MS only. OEM only?

-----Original Message-----
From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:18 AM
To: Andy Glass, Angus Cunningham, Raja Abburi, Sherrri Kennamer, Steve Bush, Ken Moss (Systems), Bill Veghte, Tonya Bruton, Richard Purcell, Chris Merritt (CTS Technical);
Cc: Ed Stubbs, Sanjay Parthasarathy, Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

here are the open items I had from my notes

<< File: RegWiz open items.doc >>

-----Original Message-----
From: Andy Glass
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:09 AM
To: Angus Cunningham, Raja Abburi, Autumn Neault (Womack), Sherrri Kennamer, Steve Bush, Ken Moss (Systems), Bill Veghte, Tonya Bruton, Richard Purcell, Chris Merritt (CTS Technical);
Cc: Ed Stubbs, Sanjay Parthasarathy, Kurt Kolb
Subject: FW: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

We made good progress with Intelliquest today in pursuit of a quality product for Windows 98. We will now have Intelliquest send the data to their backend and forward Microsoft's data to MS.COM. This saves us the trouble of dealing with OEM data while still making just one phone call. In order to do this, the following MS action items have been agreed to:

MS-PCA 2562817

CONFIDENTIAL

Intelliquest client functioning by Feb. 22 (UI by 3/12)

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
location detection (phone numbers); modem setup	Kenmoss		-- Passed to IQ
URL changes to add MSID/HWID	Andygl Sherrick	2/18	
TAPI interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Prodiag.com post format	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Modem detection interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Welcome interface	Andygl	2/18	
ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)	Tonyab Richard	3/12	Passed to IQ
End user permission for MS use of data	Tonyab Richard	--	Passed to IQ
Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons	Tonyab Richard	--	Passed to IQ
MS branding (?) added	Autumn	3/12	

MS Regwiz changes complete by 2/22

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
OEM data encryption	N/A	--	not needed
remove OEM customization option (additional questions) in current Regwiz	Kenmoss	2/22	
	Trivial		
OPK modifications	a-chrmer	2/28	Unclear
MSID/HWID generated	Kenmoss	2/22	
Get MSID; Put MSID; Get Item; Put Item interfaces	Stevebu	2/17	
HW/SW scan dll's	Kenmoss	2/18	

MS.COM backend infrastructure by 3/30

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
New script to look for ID's on URL if not in cookies	Sherrick		2/22
Test site established on MS.COM	Sherrick	2/22	
Processing for IQ data	Sherrick	3/30	

Issues

1. *To what extent will we try to support Netscape and other browsers?*

Discussed having OEM's put an icon on the desktop for connecting with MS.COM to take advantage of our services if a non-IE browser is chosen as the default browser. This icon would connect to MS.COM using IE and would pass the ID's that enable the customer to take advantage of web support without re-registering.

2. *Which should come first in Welcome ICW or Registration?*

This issue needs to be worked through.

If you have any questions or if I have left anything out, please let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 1998 10:50 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems)
Cc: Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

Given the time constraints due to getting Windows 98 out of the door, we need to move quickly to get a registration solution in place. This will involve a coordinated effort and cooperation from all involved.

The first critical deliverable is to have the changes that will be on the Windows 98 retail/OPK release tested and in place by Feb. 28. This includes changes to Regwiz and completed testing of the Intelliquest (IQ) client to Regwiz to MS.COM portion of the solution.

After Windows 98 is locked, minor additional work can continue on finalizing IQ's client UI and the backend infrastructure between MS.COM and IQ. All of this needs to be tested and in place by April 15.

Here are the major changes needed for the new OEM Registration solution:

1. Intelliquest client completed Andygl drives with IQ

MS-PCA 2562818

CONFIDENTIAL

- location detection (phone numbers) modem setup
 - ensure MS questions are asked (name address ...)
 - End user permission for MS use of data
 - MS branding (?) added
 - Additional information provided (how data is used how to update data) for legal reasons
- 2 MS Regwiz changes complete Kenmoss/Stevebu
 - HW/SW information coordination with IQ client
 - OEM data encryption
 - remove OEM customization option (additional questions)
 - OPK modifications
 - 3 MS COM backend infrastructure Sherricke/Stevebu
 - Prodreg server enhancements for OEM data (additional fields)
 - MS connection to transmit data to IQ
 - file download from IQ to client (?)
 - 4 OEM/IQ unencryption code (?) Stevebu/Kenmoss

Phase I

- 2/11 Preliminary specs for IQ complete AndyGI (coordinating SteveBu/Sherricke/Autumn/KenMoss)
- 2/11-12 IQ on site spec walkthrough
- 2/20 Prototype client from IQ Inteliquest
- 2/20 Regwiz changes complete Kenmoss/Stevebu
- 2/20 Prodreg com up for testing Sherricke
- 2/28 Client portion (Regwiz IQ client) AndyGI (coordinating Kenmoss/Stevebu/IQ)
transmission to MS COM) tested and
MS RegWiz checked in

Phase II

- 3/12 Signoff on final IQ UI for MS Autumn/Angusc
- 3/30 MS backend infrastructure and IQ Sherricke/Stevebu
connection tested and in place

MS-PCA 2562819

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Ken Moss (Systems)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 5:53 PM
To: Bill Veghte
Cc: Andy Glass, Autumn Neault (Womack)
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

One clarification: I do not believe was made

IQ intends to create an interface where users can (not) register with MS or the OEM in any permutation

Ken

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 1:16 PM
To: Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss
(Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

**Privileged Material
Redacted**

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 10:56 AM
To: Ed Stubbs; Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss
(Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

You bet: the best solution is to avoid asking the question. Just want to make sure we can from legal/privacy/OEM perspective

-----Original Message-----
From: Ed Stubbs
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:57 AM
To: Bill Veghte; Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

no. our customer is already unhappy they are pushed to register lets not add routing to the mix.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:35 AM
To: Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

In the registration screens do we need to ask the user whether they want to send info to MS and the OEM. MS only, OEM only?

-----Original Message-----
From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:18 AM
To: Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

here are the open items i had from my notes.

MS-PCA 2562820

CONFIDENTIAL

<< File RegWiz open items.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:09 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technica); Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parnasaratny; Kurt Kolb
Cc:
Subject: FW: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

We made good progress with Intelliquest today in pursuit of a quality product for Windows 98. We will now have Intelliquest send the data to their backend and forward Microsoft's data to MS.COM. This saves us the trouble of dealing with OEM data while still making just one phone call. In order to do this, the following MS action items have been agreed to:

Intelliquest client functioning by Feb. 22 (UI by 3/12)

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
location detection (phone numbers), modem setup	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
URL changes to add MSID/HWID	Andygl; Sherricke	2/18	
TAPI interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Prodreg.com post format	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Modem detection interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Welcome interface	Andygl	2/18	
ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)	Tonyab; Richardp	3/12	Passed to IQ
End user permission for MS use of data	Tonyab; richarp	--	Passed to IQ
Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons	Tonyab; richarp	--	Passed to IQ
MS branding (?) added	Autumn	3/12	

MS Regwiz changes complete by 2/22

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
OEM data encryption	N/A	--	not needed
remove OEM customization option (additional questions) in current Regwiz	Kenmoss	2/22	
	Trivial		
OPK modifications	a-chrmer	2/28	Unclear
MSID/HWID generated	Kenmoss	2/22	
Get MSID; Put MSID; Get Item; Put Item interfaces	Stevebu	2/17	
HW/SW scan dll's	Kenmoss	2/18	

MS.COM backend infrastructure by 3/30

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
New script to look for ID's on URL if not in cookies	Sherricke	2/22	
Test site established on MS.COM	Sherricke	2/22	
Processing for IQ data	Sherricke	3/30	

Issues

1. *To what extent will we try to support Netscape and other browsers?*

Discussed having OEM's put an icon on the desktop for connecting with MS.COM to take advantage of our services if a non-IE browser is chosen as the default browser. This icon would connect to MS.COM using IE and would pass the ID's that enable the customer to take advantage of web support without re-registering.

2. *Which should come first in Welcome, ICW or Registration?*

This issue needs to be worked through.

If you have any questions or if I have left anything out, please let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 1998 10:50 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherril Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parnasaratny; Kurt Kolb
Cc:
Subject: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

Given the time constraints due to getting Windows 98 out of the door, we need to move quickly to get a registration solution in place. This will involve a coordinated effort and cooperation from all:

MS-PCA 2562821

CONFIDENTIAL

involved

The first critical deliverable is to have the changes that will be on the Windows 98 retail/OPK release tested and in place by Feb 26. This includes changes to Regwiz and completed testing of the Intelliquest (IQ) client to Regwiz to MS COM portion of the solution.

After Windows 98 is locked, minor additional work can continue on finalizing IQ's client UI and the backend infrastructure between MS COM and IQ. All of this needs to be tested and in place by April 15.

Here are the major changes needed for the new OEM Registration solution:

1. Intelliquest client completed AndyGI drives with IQ
 - location detection (phone numbers), modem setup
 - ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)
 - End user permission for MS use of data
 - MS branding (?) added
 - Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons
2. MS Regwiz changes complete Kenmoss/Stevebu
 - HW/SW information coordination with IQ client
 - OEM data encryption
 - remove OEM customization option (additional questions)
 - OPK modifications
3. MS COM backend infrastructure Sherricke/Stevebu
 - Prodreg server enhancements for OEM data (additional fields)
 - MS connection to transmit data to IQ
 - file download from IQ to client (?)
4. OEM/IQ unencryption code (?) Stevebu/Kenmoss

Phase I

- | | | |
|---------|--|--|
| 2/11 | Preliminary specs for IQ complete | AndyGI (coordinating) |
| | SteveBu/Sherricke/Autumn/KenMoss) | |
| 2/11-12 | IQ on site - spec walkthrough | |
| 2/20 | Prototype client from IQ | Intelliquest |
| 2/20 | Regwiz changes complete | Kenmoss/Stevebu |
| 2/20 | Prodreg com up for testing | Sherricke |
| 2/26 | Client portion (Regwiz, IQ client, transmission to MS COM) tested and MS RegWiz checked in | AndyGI (coordinating Kenmoss/Stevebu/IQ) |

Phase II

- | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|
| 3/12 | Signoff on final IQ UI for MS | Autumn/Angusc |
| 3/30 | MS backend infrastructure and IQ connection tested and in place | Sherricke/Stevebu |

MS-PCA 2562822

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 5:54 PM
To: Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte
Cc: Andy Glass
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

agreed, all (both OEM and MS) or nothing.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ken Moss (Systems)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 5:53 PM
To: Bill Veghte
Cc: Andy Glass; Autumn Neault (Womack)
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

One clarification: I do not believe was made.

IQ intends to create an interface where users can (not) register with MS or the OEM in any permutation.

Ken

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 1:16 PM
To: Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kenamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

**Privileged Material
Redacted**

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 10:56 AM
To: Ed Stubbs; Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kenamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

You bet! The best solution is to avoid asking the question. Just want to make sure we can from legal/privacy/OEM perspective.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ed Stubbs
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:57 AM
To: Bill Veghte; Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kenamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

no, our customer is already unhappy they are pushed to register lets not add routing to the mix.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:35 AM
To: Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kenamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

In the registration screens do we need to ask the user whether they want to send info to MS and the OEM, MS only, OEM only?

-----Original Message-----

MS-PCA 2562823

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:18 AM
To: Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherri Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stupps; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

here are the open items i had from my notes

<< File: RegWiz open items.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:09 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherri Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stupps; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: FW: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

We made good progress with Intelliquest today in pursuit of a quality product for Windows 95. We will now have Intelliquest send the data to their backend and forward Microsoft's data to MS.COM. This saves us the trouble of dealing with OEM data while still making just one phone call. In order to do this, the following MS action items have been agreed to:

Intelliquest client functioning by Feb. 22 (UI by 3/12)

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
location detection (phone numbers), modem setup	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
URL changes to add MSID/HWID	AndyG;Sherrike	2/18	
TAPI interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Prodreg.com post format	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Modem detection interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Welcome interface	AndyG	2/18	
ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)	Tonyab;Richard	3/12	Passed to IQ
End user permission for MS use of data	Tonyab;richard	--	Passed to IQ
Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons	Tonyab;richard	--	Passed to IQ
MS branding (?) added	Autumn	3/12	

MS Regwiz changes complete by 2/22

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
OEM data encryption	N/A	--	not needed
remove OEM customization option (additional questions) in current Regwiz	Kenmoss	2/22	Trivial
OPK modifications	a-chrmer	2/28	Unclear
MSID/HWID generated	Kenmoss	2/22	
Get MSID; Put MSID; Get Item; Put Item interfaces	Stevebu	2/17	
HW/SW scan dll's	Kenmoss	2/18	

MS.COM backend infrastructure by 3/30

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
New script to look for ID's on URL if not in cookies	Sherrike	2/22	
Test site established on MS.COM	Sherrike	2/22	
Processing for IQ data	Sherrike	3/30	

Issues

1. *To what extent will we try to support Netscape and other browsers?*

Discussed having OEM's put an icon on the desktop for connecting with MS.COM to take advantage of our services if a non-IE browser is chosen as the default browser. This icon would connect to MS.COM using IE and would pass the ID's that enable the customer to take advantage of web support without re-registering.

2. *Which should come first in Welcome, ICW or Registration?*

This issue needs to be worked through.

If you have any questions or if I have left anything out, please let me know.

-----Original Message-----

MS-PCA 2562824

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 1998 10:50 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherr Kennamey; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems)
Cc: Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Saniay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

Given the time constraints due to getting Windows 98 out of the door, we need to move quickly to get a registration solution in place. This will involve a coordinated effort and cooperation from all involved.

The first critical deliverable is to have the changes that will be on the Windows 98 retail OPK release tested and in place by Feb 28. This includes changes to Regwiz and completed testing of the Intelliquest (IQ) client to Regwiz to MS COM portion of the solution.

After Windows 98 is locked, minor additional work can continue on finalizing IQ's client UI and the backend infrastructure between MS COM and IQ. All of this needs to be tested and in place by April 15.

Here are the major changes needed for the new OEM Registration solution:

1. Intelliquest client completed with IQ AndyG; drives
 - location detection (phone numbers), modem setup
 - ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)
 - End user permission for MS use of data
 - MS branding (?) added
 - Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons
2. MS Regwiz changes complete KenMoss/Stevebu
 - HW/SW information coordination with IQ client
 - OEM data encryption
 - remove OEM customization option (additional questions)
 - OPK modifications
3. MS COM backend infrastructure Sherricke/Stevebu
 - Prodreg server enhancements for OEM data (additional fields)
 - MS connection to transmit data to IQ
 - file download from IQ to client (?)
4. OEM/IQ unencryption code (?) Stevebu/Kenmoss

Phase I

2/11	Preliminary specs for IQ complete	AndyG (coordinating)
	SteveBu/Sherricke/Autumn/KenMoss)	
2/11-12	IQ on site, spec walkthrough	"
2/20	Prototype client from IQ	Intelliquest
2/20	Regwiz changes complete	Kenmoss/Stevebu
2/20	Prodreg.com up for testing	Sherricke
2/28	Client portion (Regwiz, IQ client	AndyG (coordinating)
	Kenmoss/Stevebu/IQ)	
	transmission to MS COM) tested and	
	MS RegWiz checked in	

Phase II

3/12	Signoff on final IQ UI for MS	Autumn/Angusc
3/30	MS backend infrastructure and IQ	Sherricke/Stevebu
	connection tested and in place	

MS-PCA 2562825

CONFIDENTIAL

From: Ken Moss (Systems)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 6:01 PM
To: Bill Veghte; Autumn Neault (Womack)
Cc: Andy Glass
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

and one but not the other. Which in the case they choose the OEM but not MS I especially do not like because we go through all the work of integration, put them on the first action item of welcome, and then receive no benefit.

Ken

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 5:54 PM
To: Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte
Cc: Andy Glass
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

aged. all (both OEM and MS) or nothing.

From: Ken Moss (Systems)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 5:53 PM
To: Bill Veghte
Cc: Andy Glass; Autumn Neault (Womack)
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

One clarification, I do not believe was made.

IQ intends to create an interface where users can (not) register with MS or the OEM in any permutation.

Ken

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 1:16 PM
To: Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherri Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

**Privileged Material
Redacted**

From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 10:56 AM
To: Ed Stubbs; Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherri Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

You bet. The best solution is to avoid asking the question. Just want to make sure we can from legal/privacy/OEM perspective.

From: Ed Stubbs
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:57 AM
To: Bill Veghte; Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherri Kennamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

MS-PCA 2562826

CONFIDENTIAL

no. our customer is already unhappy they are pushed to register lets not add routing to the mix

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Veghte
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:35 AM
To: Autumn Neault (Womack); Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamey; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical); Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Cc:
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

In the registration screens do we need to ask the user whether they want to send info to MS and the OEM. MS only. OEM only?

-----Original Message-----

From: Autumn Neault (Womack)
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:18 AM
To: Andy Glass; Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Sherril Kennamey; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: RE: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

here are the open items i had from my notes.

<< File: RegWiz open items.doc >>

-----Original Message-----

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 8:09 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherril Kennamey; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems); Bill Veghte; Tonya Bruton; Richard Purcell; Chris Merritt (CTS Technical)
Cc: Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: FW: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

We made good progress with Intelliquest today in pursuit of a quality product for Windows 98. We will now have Intelliquest send the data to their backend and forward Microsoft's data to MS.COM. This saves us the trouble of dealing with OEM data while still making just one phone call. In order to do this, the following MS action items have been agreed to:

Intelliquest client functioning by Feb. 22 (UI by 3/12)

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
location detection (phone numbers); modem setup	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
URL changes to add MSID/HWID	Andygl; Sherrilke	2/18	
TAPI interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Prodreg.com post format	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Modem detection interface	Kenmoss	--	Passed to IQ
Welcome interface	Andygl	2/18	
ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)	Tonyab; Richarp		
	3/12	Passed to IQ	
End user permission for MS use of data	Tonyab; richarp	--	Passed to IQ
Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons	Tonyab; richarp	--	Passed to IQ
MS branding (?) added	Autumn	3/12	

MS Regwiz changes complete by 2/22

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
OEM data encryption	N/A	--	not needed
remove OEM customization option (additional questions) in current Regwiz	Kenmoss	2/22	Trivial
OPK modifications	a-chrmer	2/28	Unclear
MSID/HWID generated	Kenmoss	2/22	
Get MSID; Put MSID; Get Item; Put Item interfaces	Stevebu	2/17	
HW/SW scan dll's	Kenmoss	2/18	

MS.COM backend infrastructure by 3/30

Task	Responsible	Due	Status
New script to look for ID's on URL if not in cookies	Sherrilke	2/22	
Test site established on MS.COM	Sherrilke	2/22	

MS-PCA 2562827

CONFIDENTIAL

Issues

1. To what extent will we try to support Netscape and other browsers?

Discussed having OEM's put an icon on the desktop for connecting with MS COM to take advantage of our services if a non-IE browser is chosen as the default browser. This icon would connect to MS COM using IE and would pass the IDs that enable the customer to take advantage of web support without re-registering.

2. Which should come first in Welcome ICW or Registration?

This issue needs to be worked through.

If you have any questions or if I have left anything out, please let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andy Glass
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 1998 10:50 AM
To: Angus Cunningham; Raja Abburi; Autumn Neault (Womack); Sherr
 Kenamer; Steve Bush; Ken Moss (Systems)
Cc: Bill Veghte; Ed Stubbs; Sanjay Parthasarathy; Kurt Kolb
Subject: OEM Registration Infrastructure Schedule

Given the time constraints due to getting Windows 98 out of the door, we need to move quickly to get a registration solution in place. This will involve a coordinated effort and cooperation from all involved.

The first critical deliverable is to have the changes that will be on the Windows 98 retail/OPK release tested and in place by Feb. 28. This includes changes to Regwiz and completed testing of the Intelliquest (IQ) client to Regwiz to MS COM portion of the solution.

After Windows 98 is locked, minor additional work can continue on finalizing IQ's client UI and the backend infrastructure between MS COM and IQ. All of this needs to be tested and in place by April 15.

Here are the major changes needed for the new OEM Registration solution:

1. Intelliquest client completed AndyG. drives
 with IQ
 - location detection (phone numbers), modem setup
 - ensure MS questions are asked (name, address, ...)
 - End user permission for MS use of data
 - MS branding (?) added
 - Additional information provided (how data is used, how to update data) for legal reasons
2. MS Regwiz changes complete
 Kenmoss/Stevebu
 - HW/SW information coordination with IQ client
 - OEM data encryption
 - remove OEM customization option (additional questions)
 - OPK modifications
3. MS COM backend infrastructure
 Sherricke/Stevebu
 - Prodreg server enhancements for OEM data (addition fields)
 - MS connection to transmit data to IQ
 - file download from IQ to client (?)
4. OEM/IQ unencryption code (?)
 Stevebu/Kenmoss

Phase I

2/11 Preliminary specs for IQ complete AndyGI (coordinating)
 SteveBu/Sherricke/Autumn/KenMoss;
 2/11-12 IQ on site, spec walkthrough ..
 2/20 Prototype client from IQ Intelliquest;

MS-PCA 2562828

CONFIDENTIAL

2/20	Regwiz changes complete	Kenmoss/Stevebu
2/20	Prodreg.com up for testing	Sherrike
2/28	Client portion (Regwiz IQ client Kenmoss/Stevebu/IQ)	AndyGI (coordinating
	transmission to MS.COM) tested and MS RegWiz checked in	

Phase II

3/12	Signoff on final IQ UI for MS	Autumn/Angusc
3/30	MS backend infrastructure and IQ connection tested and in place	Sherrike/Stevebu

MS-PCA 2562829

CONFIDENTIAL