

Mark Brown

Sent:

Friday, May 23, 1997 1:30 PM

To:

Brad Silverberg; Peter Plamondon; Tom Button; Tod Nielsen; Linda Norman (LCA)

Cc:

Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA)

Subject:

RE: open tools

insiders and logic tell me that Oracle getting an Open Tools license from us is a gate to the Orcl/Borl Jbuilder bundle deal announced a few weeks back.

Since orcl has been so difficult to deal with, we have agreed to their Open Tools terms, in principal, just as soon as they give us (and we confirm) unrestricted rights to buy their products - something extremely hard for MS to do today.

The last time I spoke w/orcl(Tues), they were sending our proposal up the chain.

-Original N

From

Sent: To:

essage---Brad Silverberg Friday, May 23, 1997 12:20 PM Peter Plamondon: Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsan Paul Gross: Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

C¢:

Subject:

RE: open tools

i just need an answer to the following:

- if oracle is asking for broader rights, they are willing to accept it's an issue between misft and orcl that needs to get
- what would it mean and would it be ok for us to let borl just past thru the rights borl has to orcl? who would orcl be able to sell to and what rights would they have?

-Original Message

From: Peter Plamondor

Sent:

Priday,May 23,1997 12:14 PM
Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Torn Button; Tod Nielsen
Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown To:

Subject: RE: open tools

Yes. Oracle's asking for much more.

Borland can grant their direct customers the right to redistribute Win32 SDK components, those Borland customers cannot grant redistribution rights to anyone else.

Oracle wants to let their resellers and distributors grant redistribution to any of their customers, repeating infinitely deep through Oracle's entire distribution channel.

We should definitely get some value back from granting these broader rights, and since Oracle currently prevents MS from purchasing many Oracle products (including at retail), that's what we're asking for in return, and they apparently don't want to yield on this point. Details on our request in MBrown's attached email,

<< Message: MS Open Tools Update >>

-Original Message From: Brad Silverberg

Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:07 PM

To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen

Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA)

Subject: RE: open tools

is oracle asking for rights broader than what borland has?

i realize the approach may not be what we would prefer but could we live with it, as long as it applied only to where the bori products are sold.

-Original Messag

I don't like that approach - we get much more value by granting the rights to Oracle, assuming we get access

MS-PCA 2599097

to products they currently block us from buying. This also maintains the rights Oracle requested as extraordinary, requiring extraordinary benefit back to MS. -Peter

Cc: Paul Gross

Subject: open tools

how would you feel if we allowed borland to pass thru their rights to oracle for oracle selling the borl tools? oracle would not get any rights borland doesn't already have, it's just a pass thru.... i need to know immediately

MS-PCA 2599098

Peter Plarnondon

Sent: To:

Friday, May 23, 1997 12:38 PM Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Cc:

Subject:

RE: open tools

I'll be happy to talk to anyone at Borland and explain the differences between their license and what Oracle's asking for, point them to me - 703-7789. -Peter

Original Message

Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:36 PM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen
Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown
Subject: RE: open tools

bortand won't make it an issue with us if orcl is asking for broader rights than bort has....

-Original Message

From:

Sent: To:

nssage—
Peter Plamondon
Friday, May 23, 1997 12:27 PM
Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Torn Button; Tod Nielsen
Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Subject:

RE: open tools

Responses below, might be easier to talk directly, x37789. -Peter

-Original Message

Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:20 PM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen
Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Subject: RE: open tools

i just need an answer to the following:

- if oracle is asking for broader rights, they are willing to accept it's an issue between maft and orcl that needs to get resolved.

Oracle needs to accept it's between MS and Oracle, they don't want to accept it because they'll have to yield to our demands. Getting these rights through Borland lets Oracle off the hook, and delivers no value to us. - what would it mean and would it be ok for us to let borl just past thru the rights borl has to orcl? who would orcl be able to sell to and what rights would they have?

Borland does not have the rights Oracle is requesting. Oracle operating under Borland's rights would have to alter. their entire business model and have all their distributors/resellers/customers work directly with Oracle to license Oracle products, rather than working with Oracle's distributors/resellers.

The only reason we're willing to give Oracle broader rights is because we can break the logiam on MS cetting Oracle products. No interest at all in granting these rights to Borland, no up-side to us and a bad precedent.

Yes, Oracle's asking for much more.

Borland can grant their direct customers the right to redistribute Win32 SDK components, those Borland customers cannot grant redistribution rights to anyone else.

Oracle wants to let their resellers and distributors grant redistribution to any of their customers, repeating infinitely deep through Oracle's entire distribution channel.

We should definitely get some value back from granting these broader rights, and since Oracle currently prevents MS from purchasing many Oracle products (including at retail), that's what we're asking for in return, and they apparently don't want to yield on this point. Details on our request in MBrown's attached email.

-Peter

MS-PCA 2599099

<< Message: MS Open Tools Update >>

-Original Message

Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:07 PM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen
Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA)

Subject: RE: open tools

is oracle asking for rights broader than what borland has?

i realize the approach may not be what we would prefer but could we live with it, as long as it applied only to where the bori products are sold.

---Original Message --From: Peter Plamondon
Sent: Friday, May 23,1997 12:00 PM
To: Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen; David Vaskevitch
Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA)
Subject: RE: open tools

I don't like that approach - we get much more value by granting the rights to Oracle, assuming we get access to products they currently block us from buying. This also maintains the rights Oracle requested as extraordinary, requiring extraordinary benefit back to MS. -Peter

----Original Message----From: Brad Silverberg
Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 11:59 AM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen

Cc: Paul Gross

open tools Subject:

how would you feel if we allowed borland to pass thru their rights to oracle for oracle selling the borl tools? oracle would not get any rights borland doesn't already have, it's just a pass thru.... i need to know immediately

Peter Plamondon

Sent:

To:

Friday, May 23, 1997 12:38 PM Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Cc:

Subject:

RE: open tools

I'll be happy to talk to anyone at Borland and explain the differences between their license and what Oracle's asking for point them to me - 703-7789. -Peter

-Original Message From: Brad Silverberg

Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:36 PM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen
Cc: Paul Gross: Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

RE: open tools

bortand won't make it an issue with us if orcl is asking for broader rights than bort has....

-Original Message

Sent: To:

essage——
Peter Plamondon
Friday,May 23,1997 12:27 PM
Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen
Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Cc:

Subject:

RE: open tools

Responses below, might be easier to talk directly, x37789. -Peter

-Original Message

From: Brad Silverberg Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:20 PM

To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen

Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Subject: RE: open tools

i just need an answer to the following:

- if oracle is asking for broader rights, they are willing to accept it's an issue between msft and orcl that needs to get resolved.

Oracle needs to accept it's between MS and Oracle, they don't want to accept it because they'll have to yield to our demands. Getting these rights through Borland lets Oracle off the hook, and delivers no value to us.

- what would it mean and would it be ok for us to let borl just past thru the rights borl has to orcl? who would orcl be

able to sell to and what rights would they have? Borland does not have the rights Oracle is requesting. Oracle operating under Borland's rights would have to after their entire business model and have all their distributors/resellers/customers work directly with Oracle to license Oracle products, rather than working with Oracle's distributors/resellers.

The only reason we're willing to give Oracle broader rights is because we can break the logiam on MS getting Oracle products. No interest at all in granting these rights to Borland, no up-side to us and a bad precedent.

From:

Sent:

Friday,May 23,1997 IZ:19 FM Brad Silverberg: Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown To:

Subject: RE: open tools

Yes, Oracle's asking for much more.

Borland can grant their direct customers the right to redistribute Win32 SDK components, those Borland customers cannot grant redistribution rights to anyone else.

Oracle wants to let their resellers and distributors grant redistribution to any of their customers, repeating infinitely deep through Oracle's entire distribution channel.

We should definitely get some value back from granting these broader rights, and since Oracle currently prevents MS from purchasing many Oracle products (including at retail), that's what we're asking for in return, and they apparently don't want to yield on this point. Details on our request in MBrown's attached email.

-Peter

MS-PCA 2599101

<< Message: MS Open Tools Update >>

-Original Message-

From: Brad Silverberg
Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:07 PM
To: Peter Plarmondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen

Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA) Subject: RE: open tools

is oracle asking for rights broader than what borland has?

i realize the approach may not be what we would prefer but could we live with it, as long as it applied only to where the bort products are sold.

—Original Message—From: Peter Plamondon
From: Peter Plamondon
Friday, May 23, 1997 12:00 PM
Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:00 PM
To: Brad Silverberg: Linda Norman (LCA); Torn Button; Tod Nielsen; David Vaskevitch
Cc: Paul Gross; Ench Andersen (LCA)
Subject: RE: open tools

Total Communication (LCA)

Total Communication (LCA)

Total Communication (LCA)

Total Communication (LCA)

Total Communication (LCA) I don't like that approach - we get much more value by granting the rights to Oracle, assuming we get access to products they currently block us from buying. This also maintains the rights Oracle requested as extraordinary, requiring extraordinary benefit back to MS. -Peter

-Original Message

From: Brad Silverberg
Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 11:59 AM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen

Cc: Paul Gross

Subject: open tools

how would you feel if we allowed borland to pass thru their rights to oracle for oracle selling the borl tools? oracle would not get any rights borland doesn't already have, it's just a pass thru.... i need to know immediately

Peter Plamondon

Sent:

Friday, May 23, 1997 12:27 PM

To:

Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Cc:

Subject:

RE: open tools

Responses below, might be easier to talk directly, x37789. -Peter

-Original Message-

From: Brad Silverberg
Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:20 PM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen

Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

RE: open tools Subject:

i just need an answer to the following:

- if oracle is asking for broader rights, they are willing to accept it's an issue between msft and orcl that needs to get resolved.

Oracle needs to accept it's between MS and Oracle, they don't want to accept it because they'll have to yield to our demands. Getting these rights through Borland lets Oracle off the hook, and delivers no value to us.

what would it mean and would it be ok for us to let borl just past thru the rights borl has to orcl? who would orcl be able to sell to and what rights would they have?

Borland does not have the rights Oracle is requesting. Oracle operating under Borland's rights would have to alter their entire business model and have all their distributors/resellers/customers work directly with Oracle to license Oracle products, rather than working with Oracle's distributors/resellers.

The only reason we're willing to give Oracle broader rights is because we can break the logism on MS getting Oracle products. No interest at all in granting these rights to Borland, no up-side to us and a bad precedent.

-Original Message--om: Peter Plamondon

From:

Sent:

Friday, May 23,1997 12:14 PM Brad Silverberg; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen Paul Gross: Erich Andersen (LCA); Mark Brown

Subject: RE: open tools

Yes, Oracle's asking for much more.

Borland can grant their direct customers the right to redistribute Win32 SDK components, those Borland customers cannot grant redistribution rights to anyone else.

Oracle wants to let their resellers and distributors grant redistribution to any of their customers, repeating infinitely deep through Oracle's entire distribution channel.

We should definitely get some value back from granting these broader rights, and since Oracle currently prevents MS from purchasing many Oracle products (including at retail), that's what we're asking for in return, and they apparently don't want to yield on this point. Details on our request in MBrown's attached email.

\_Peter

, << Message: MS Open Tools Update >>

-Original Message

From: Brad Silverberg
Sent: Friday, May 23, 1997 12:07 PM
To: Peter Plamondon; Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen
Cc: Paul Gross; Erich Andersen (LCA)

Subject: RE: open tools

is oracle asking for rights broader than what borland has?

i realize the approach may not be what we would prefer but could we live with it, as long as it applied only to where the bort products are sold.

-Original Message----om: Peter Plamondon

From:

Friday, May 23, 1997 12:00 PM

MS-PCA 2599103

Brad Silverberg: Linda Norman (LCA); Tom Button; Tod Nielsen; David Vaskavitch Paul Gross: Erich Andersen (LCA) ject: RE: open tools

I don't like that approach - we get much more value by granting the rights to Oracle, assuming we get access to products they currently block us from buying. This also maintains the rights Oracle requested as extraordinary, requiring extraordinary benefit back to MS. -Peter

Cc: Paul Gross

Subject: open tools

how would you feel if we allowed borland to pass thru their rights to oracle for oracle selling the borl tools? oracle would not get any rights borland doesn't already have, it's just a pass thru.... i need to know immediately

MS-PCA 2599104