

MS	IBM
<p><b>I. Contractual Issues</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Definition of OS/2 3.0</li> <li>2. Delivery of "Windows code" for OS/2 2.0 source</li> <li>3. DOS royalty for shipment of QBasic on clone systems</li> <li>4. Definition of "IBM systems" under the agreements</li> <li>5. "Better Windows than Windows"</li> <li>6. Delivery of Workplace Shell without disclaimer</li> </ol>	<p><b>I. Contractual Issues</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. WABCC</li> <li>2. Delivery of Win 3.1 and Windows NT source code</li> <li>3. Maintenance for OS/2 2.0 SDK</li> <li>4. Delivery of LM code as "modifications"</li> <li>5. Disclosure of confidential information</li> <li>6. Hiring IBM employees</li> <li><del>7. Use of OEM sources</del></li> </ol>
<p><b>II. Global Goals</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Obtain patent/copyright immunity for Apple suit <i>New terms for</i></li> <li>2. Revisit OS/2 3.X agreement</li> <li>3. Port PM and ES to NT</li> <li>4. Maintain strong DOS relationship/discourage IBM's sale of PC-DOS on non-IBM systems</li> <li>5. Avoid bundling OS/2 or DR-DOS on IBM systems</li> <li>6. Support for Windows where appropriate within IBM, e.g., PS/1, AS/400 and host connectivity, MIM systems</li> <li>7. Develop relationship with hardware labs</li> <li>8. Improve MIM relationship</li> <li>9. Develop Pen Windows machine <i>IBM refusal to license creates fodder for press</i></li> <li>10. Sell LM box in "Novell style" arrangement</li> </ol>	<p><b>II. Global Goals</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. MS develop 32 bit OS/2 apps and development tools</li> <li>2. MS provide strong ISV/OEM support for OS/2 2.0</li> <li>3. MS develop great PM subsystem in NT P1 <i>(MS will cooperate)</i></li> <li>4. Discontinue public attacks on IBM and OS/2</li> <li>5. License to LADDR code</li> <li>6. Plans for NT</li> <li>7. Get MS out of 2.0 OEM biz?</li> </ol>

*\* Make Windows great SAA operating system*  
 What MS wants / what IBM is willing to give