```
| >From tomle Sun Mar 17 14:19:55 1991
| To: braddir
| Subject: Dos 5 weekly Report
| Date: Sun Mar 17 14:17:18 1991
|
|       In general, we are being bitten by last minute changes being done
|    wrong, engineering flaws that have been lurking for awhile and still

>>>    letting too many bug fixes into the product. This is making it very
>>>    hard to get into final test entry.
```

34
From bradsi Sun Mar 17 17:12:49 1991
To: tomle
Cc: doswar
Subject: gamma/compuserve
Date: Sun Mar 17 17:13:59 1991

how many gammas have been downloaded from compuserve?

35
From w-clairl Sun Mar 17 17:41:08 1991
To: bradsi
Subject: Re: Outline for meetings
Cc: w-clairl
Date: Sun Mar 17 17:34:35 1991

To answer your direct question, Cam is meeting with trade press editors
(Infoworld, PC Week, Computerworld, CRN) and with Nancy McSharry of
IDC, at the SPA conference. We are going to hand out our windows
applications press release and Cam is doing these meetings to leverage
the opportunity for coverage of the Windows applications data.

Re the chinese wall, we should do this definitely. It is a question
that everyone in systems and apps should have a good answer to.

Claire
>From bradsi Fri Mar 15 19:21:13 1991
To: w-clairl
Subject: Re: Outline for meetings
Date: Fri Mar 15 19:20:37 1991

which meetings are these?

one question that is coming up a lot now is the "chinese wall"
question.

my answer has been along the lines in your note -- ms apps is just
another isv; we work with lots of isv's; they are critical to our

success; ms apps writes for mac too; ms apps asks us for features,
just as other isv's do; the purpose of an os is to run apps; etc.

we should flesh this out into a more direct answer to the chinese
wall question.

36
From sprocket_mailer_daemon Sun Mar 17 17:44:45 1991
To: bradsi
Subject: mail problem

Trouble sending mail on `sprocket', Sun Mar 17 17:44:41 1991

================ Transcript follows ================
  Bcc: Return-receipt-to: gaffer%aaronr gaffer%ralphl
0 alias errors
No local user named "Bcc:"
No local user named "Return-receipt-to:"
2 delivery errors
2 total errors

================ Message follows ================
>From bradsi Sun Mar 17 17:44:41 1991
To: aaronr ralphl
Cc: Bcc: Return-receipt-to:
Subject: v86 mode
Date: Sun Mar 17 17:45:53 1991

are there dos apps which won't run under v86 mode? i think ralphl
mentioned laplink doesn't. does this apply even when for "normal"
dos under a limulator, as opposed to a virtual dos session under
windows? i would imagine the former is much higher performance since
you don't have to worry about multiple machines, so the layer can be
much thinner. i would also imagine that such limulators reflect
everything except int 67h calls right back into dos. true?

37
From jimall Sun Mar 17 17:47:16 1991
To: bradsi
Subject: FW: win4/dos6
Date: Sun Mar 17 17:45:10 PDT 1991

Guess what?
I just got around to reading all the mail that had piled up...
I wish I had known. Oh well. So how did it turn out?

thanks,
jim

|>From   bradsi Thu Mar 14 13:16:03 1991