

I'd love to let this guy know... we spent a heck of a lot of time making sure we would work with this type of scenario.

Paul

|>From bradsi Tue Sep 24 09:57:55 1991  
|To: paulha  
|Subject: RE: LONG MAIL: Notes on DR FORUM 9/19-9/23  
|  
|Date: Tue, 24 Sep 91 09:56:15 PDT  
|  
|why not tell him?

##### 47  
From janineh Tue Sep 24 10:14:42 1991  
To: bradsi  
Cc: davidcol  
Subject: Re: Novell distributing beta internally  
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 91 10:13:58 PDT

We only tell them they can't tell DR DOS or give them anything. Novell can certainly distribute it w/in their co. My concern is that if there are people in their support organization testing w/ DR DOS AND they don't know about not being able to tell DR DOS the problems, they may tell them all about WIN 3.1 - how it runs, docs, features, etc. They might do this believing it was okay since DRI was/will be part of their co. This would affectively give DR DOS a testing organization feeding them 3.1 information. We've had other such organizations trying to get into the beta and believed that DR DOS was behind at least one of them.

Janine

>From bradsi Tue Sep 24 10:05:55 1991  
|To: janineh  
|Cc: davidcol  
|Subject: Re: Novell distributing beta internally  
|Date: Tue, 24 Sep 91 10:04:19 PDT

|novell can certainly test themselves with dr-dos. but cannot distribute our beta to digital research.

|does our beta agreement not let them give it to their (novell's) support department?

|remind kaikai that we do not support windows on DR DOS. they are on their own. <there are plenty of problems, too. hee hee>.

||>From janineh Tue Sep 24 09:46:47 1991  
||To: bradsi

MS 5054215  
CONFIDENTIAL

Plaintiff's Exhibit

5383

Comes V. Microsoft

RBC 003013

|| Cc: davidcol  
|| Subject: Novell distributing beta internally  
|| Date: Tue, 24 Sep 91 09:46:10 PDT

||  
|| I don't know if you ever heard back from Novell on that letter  
|| about DR DOS. However, I am wondering based upon this mail  
|| whether or not it was ever posted to the Co (Novell). Do we  
|| need to draft another letter or would you rather this handle via  
|| telephone or some other means?

|| Janine

|| >From kaikal Tue Sep 24 09:42:24 1991  
|| |To: davidcol winbeta  
|| |Cc: thadh  
|| |Subject: Novell distributing beta internally  
|| |Date: Tue, 24 Sep 91 09:41:32 PDT

|| |FYI

|| |Thad just talked to Larry Angus in Novell Product Support,  
|| |who said he got a copy of Win31 from their R&D department.  
|| |He's running the beta on DRDOS, which he supports. He works  
|| |with Digital Research on support issues.

|| |kaikal

##### 48  
From mikedr Tue Sep 24 10:15:23 1991  
To: bradsi dosdev vanguard  
Subject: Re: DRDOS bug: FCB writes create incorrect file size; possible data corruption  
Date: Tue, 24 Sep 91 10:14:15 PDT

I doubt many if any current applications (other than our DOS utilities)  
still use FCBs. The old candidates were dBase II, WordStar, the PFS  
series, and some old compilers, such as MS Pascal. We might try  
newer versions to see if they still have FCB code, but anything that  
has been rewritten (dBase IV, for example) is sure to have junked them.

>From bradsi Mon Sep 23 16:52:47 1991  
|To: dosdev mikedr vanguard  
|Subject: DRDOS bug: FCB writes create incorrect file size; possible data corruption  
|Date: Mon, 23 Sep 91 16:50:29 PDT

MS 5054216  
CONFIDENTIAL

RBC 003014