

**Donna Poreda**

---

**From:** Brad Silverberg  
**To:** davidcol  
**Subject:** RE: non-synergy areas  
**Date:** Thursday, June 03, 1993 8:51 AM

yes, apps synergy is our focus but we know cairo synergy will come up so we should be prepared.

-----  
**From:** davidcol  
**To:** bradsi  
**Subject:** RE: non-synergy areas  
**Date:** Thursday, June 03, 1993 8:05 AM

I hate to look like a complete butt head here, but I think our focus should be on synergy with the apps group. They are the ones who will help make Chicago successful. They share goals with Chicago, we are in effect on the same mission.

I'm not saying we shouldn't work with the Cairo guys and fix some things. If we and the apps group align, then cairo will too by default. The last time we tried to line up with Cairo is cost us 5 months.

-----  
**From:** bradsi  
**To:** davidcol; dennisad; johnlu  
**Subject:** non-synergy areas  
**Date:** Thursday, June 03, 1993 7:39 AM

we talked yesterday just about chicago:apps non-synergy. we should also address chicago:cairo non synergy. stevem has given us a good list of ui differences...

**From:** stevem Thu Jun 3 06:07:44 1993  
**To:** bobmu bradsi chrisgr davidcol janineh jimall joeb markmal tandyt timsat  
**Subject:** chicago/cairo diffs  
**Date:** Thu Jun 3 06:07:16 1993

this is a list compiled off the top of several peoples' heads on where there are programming model differences between cairo and chicago. in many cases we will be visually compatible partially or totally, in other cases functions don't exist in chicago by current plan. most of this arises from cairo's decision to go whole-hog with ole and use it to define new function in the shell and UI in general, and chicago's decision not to.

please don't take this as bible, there is not a lot of detail behind the list at this point, and quite likely some errors due to my misunderstanding of chicago plans. it's meant as a starting point for discussion on how we spin this situation to isvs and ms apps - and where we might sync stuff up.

thanks  
?  
steve

-----  
(also, some items overlap/are redundant)

Drag/drop and transfer in shell, inter-shell-app  
scrap support  
scraps as embedded objects in shell objects  
scrap drag and drop  
links implementation, interop  
app-specific shell handlers  
common dialogs  
rich text control  
controls architecture (tho cairo picks up chic work)  
projects  
next/prev support  
wastebasket interface/api  
mechanisms for adding prop sheets  
twins ? this one is in the air right now  
twins reconcilers  
?  
explorer extensibility  
link and view mgmt api for custom/app implemented folders  
OLE2"c" - steve's paranoia placeholder for chicago work on ole  
help - info pointers, authoring, title structure  
icon registration  
typeinfo/typelib usage  
longfilename nit differences (e.g. maxlen)  
EZ shell work - tho likely cairo will pick up  
ctxt menu registration, extensibility mechanisms  
shell ini files for config state, desktop state, folder view state  
16bit components/apis and 32b app usage  
which is faster - 16b or 32b app (i.e. what will perf-sensitive  
isvs want to write)  
ini file based storage model for links  
providing storage  
mail integration, mail add-ons  
address book integration  
"Find" (file) interfaces, UI integration  
"Search" (text) integration (commdlg issue)  
templates (?)

again, there is redundancy here, and we ain't done with the  
?  
app prototype with chrisgr, so I expect this list to be trimmed  
and to then grow.