

Eric.

144
From steveue Mon Jan 14 17:52:52 1991
To: bradsi
Subject: WLO issues
Date: Mon Jan 14 17:52:53 1991

Brad, I have a couple of issues for you:

(1) what is your current thinking regarding the inclusion of WLO in the Win 3.1 SDK. We exchanged mail awhile ago and I'm curious where you are with this.

(2) we seem to have a difference of opinion with your team (bobgu & davidcol) over the WIN applets. We are working to convert these to OS/2 using WLO and they need to be cleaned up. The work is in the applets, not in WLO. Your team is unwilling to invest resources to clean them up and suggested we pony up some resources. I don't have the spare resources to do this. Also MS is on the hook for these in the OS/2 2.0 contract with IBM.

I've attached mail highlighting the problem apps.

(3) in general as new function gets developed for WIN 3.1, your developers need to pay attention to the clean app requirements of WLO so that we can add support for this function to future releases of WLO. This essentially requires responsiveness on the WIN developers part to fixing the problems we identify. It also requires some degree of build support / configuration management to give us all the necessary files so that we are able to build.

I have attached a list of the new function that we are targeting for WLO support.

In general, we occasionally get a feeling from the WIN group that OS/2 is dying and why worry about it. I think if the message came from you that this is an important strategy for Steveb and Billg, it would help.

Let me know if you think I'm getting bad data here or if I'm off base in some way.

Thanks.

|| >From johnc Thu Oct 25 10:20:17 1990
|| To: Melissmo
|| Cc: kenlyne
|| Subject: WinApplets
|| Date: Thu Oct 25 10:19:45 1990

X 569691
CONFIDENTIAL

Plaintiff's Exhibit

7547

Comes V. Microsoft