

Date: Tue Feb 12 12:40:45 1991

>From jeremybu Tue Feb 12 12:36:54 1991
| To: mikelal
| Subject: RE: the Win 3.1 beta program
| Cc: bradsi joachimk
| Date: Tue Feb 12 12:35:14 1991

Jan indicated at our meeting that he feels comfortable
| handling 800 with 6 or seven resources, which he can
| provide. To do 3000 takes proportionately more,
| and will take a month or two hire or train well.
| The shippage that the schedule should take is therefore
| the month of two of hiring & training delay, once
| the heads are approved.

I assume that Joachim will come forward with Brad with
| a proposed plan in the coming days

66
From bradsi Tue Feb 12 12:41:29 1991
To: stevcb tonya
Subject: Re: emm386 + Tortugas == Divide overflow
Date: Tue Feb 12 12:41:27 1991

fyi
>From philba Tue Feb 12 12:32:46 1991
| To: doswar
| Subject: Re: emm386 + Tortugas == Divide overflow
| Date: Tue Feb 12 13:26:50 1991

is IBM testing on the tortugas???? clearly not emm386.

>From tonyka Mon Feb 11 14:53:27 1991
| To: dosbug
| Subject: emm386 + Tortugas == Divide overflow
| Date: Mon Feb 11 14:50:56 PDT 1991
||
|| emm386 + Tortugas == Divide overflow
||

|| Sev:1
|| emm386
||
|| Booting with the following config sys on both Tortugas in the DOS lab
|| gives "Divide overflow". The system either hangs or the disk drive
|| spins forever.
||
|| The error is apparently caused by emm386.exe. Removing emm386 the
|| system boots fine.
||

MS 5063649
CONFIDENTIAL

Plaintiff's Exhibit

7554

Comes V. Microsoft