From mikewi Wed Aug 2 16:04:02 1989
To: dwdos
Sylight: Code review
L :: Sun Jun 28 13:22:47 PDT 1992

ROMDOS is the target for next week's code review. Pickings are pretty slim, since most of the work was modification to existing code (which was, and still is, far too ugly for review by humans). There is a small bit -- the header and init code for the ROM module -- which is new, so I put that up in the usual place. Actually, a good deal of this was written by John for ROMDOS1, so if there is anything particularly good, I can't take credit for it.

From mikewi Wed Aug 2 16:28:24 1989 To: mikedr tomle Subject: ROMDOS 2 DBCS source merge... Date: Sun Jun 28 13:22:48 PDT 1992

What shall we do about the DBCS stuff? My inclination is that we should just have Inagawa merge the stuff into the tree now. He did this earlier in the DOS and COMMAND, so I don't think we have to worry about him working on the tree directly. The alternative is to get the changed sources from him and merge them in ourselves (yuck).

> >From yukinin Wed Aug 2 16:19:36 1989
> To: mikewi
> qubject: ROMDOS 2 source merge...
: : yasukim
> wate: Wed Aug 2 16:18:45 1989
>
> I got a good number of problem while building ROMDOS2 DBCS version.
> And I already fixed all problem about that.
> Mike, I want to merge modified source code into main source.
> Please advise me how can I do?
> -yuki

From bjbahk Wed Aug 2 16:30:25 1989 To: philba tomle Cc: bjbahk jodys Subject: dos clone check on windows Date: Sun Jun 28 13:22:48 PDT 1992

I think jodys forwarded my email to you. Please let me know how and where to get those source codes. Thanks.

MSC 00723843

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

From mikedr Wed Aug 2 16:35:23 1989 To: mikewi

Cc: tomle

Subject: Re: ROMDOS 2 DBCS source merge...

Mail 1.21 brucen

Sun Jun 28 13:20:25 1992Page: 43

Plaintiff's Exhibit
9008

Comes V. Microsoft

Date: Sun Jun 28 13:22:48 PDT 1992

Not knowing his record, I am nervous about letting him party on our tree. I rather see him follow the rules we gave him for DOS 4.01, meaning t. he doesn't merge anything in until he has verified that it doesn't break compatibility. I'd also prefer to have you code review his stuff before it is merged in.

>From mikewi Wed Aug 2 16:28:24 1989 To: mikedr tomle

Subject: ROMDOS 2 DBCS source merge...

Date: Wed Aug 02 16:24:46 1989

What shall we do about the DBCS stuff? My inclination is that we should just have Inagawa merge the stuff into the tree now. He did this earlier in the DOS and COMMAND, so I don't think we have to worry about him working on the tree directly. The alternative is to get the changed sources from him and merge them in ourselves (yuck).

- > >From yukinin Wed Aug 2 16:19:36 1989
- > To: mikewi
- > Subject: ROMDOS 2 source merge...
- > Cc: yasukim
- > Date: Wed Aug 2 16:18:45 1989
- > I got a good number of problem while building ROMDOS2 DBCS version.
- > And I already fixed all problem about that.
- > Mike, I want to merge modified source code into main source.
- > Please advise me how can I do?
- > -yuki

From jimla Wed Aug 2 16:39:44 1989

To: dosbug Cc: jimla

Subject: FCB unavailable bug under romdos 2.0 in BASIC02 Test

Date: Sun Jun 28 13:22:51 PDT 1992

Severity:2 ROMDOS 2.0 Only/DOS or Command.com FCB unavailable bug under romdos 2.0 in BASIC02 Test

Under system test "3xtests\basic02" we get a FCB unavailable error under ROMDOS 2.0 but no error under DOS 3.21.

FCB SEQ WRITE

DOS 3.21 AL=0 OK NO ERROR

MSC 00723844

DOS 3.22 ROMDOS 2 AL=1 FAIL FCB unavailable INT 24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Extended Error 35 /* FCB unavailable */ CLASS 7 /* Application Error */ Action 4 /* abort */

WinMail 1.21

brucen

Sun Jun 28 13:20:25 1992

Page: 44