

Date: 18 Sep 1990 8:43 est
Via: vax
To: fking,dcasey
Subject: on IBM licensing Windows

Back in February 1989, when I got the first rumors of Windows 3 being pushed as an alternative to OS/2, I raised the first warning that our product strategy needed a serious response to the boost this gave Excel. I think we can be a little bit proud that we succeeded in delaying this by working our relationship with IBM. As the author of the first (August 1989) of many design proposals for making a Windows 3 version of release 3, I feel saddened by the fact that serious work on this project did not start until August 1990 (a 1 year delay) and I attribute this in large part to "believing our own bullshit" or a tendency to regard winning a customer or IBM officer away from Windows as indication of "peace in our time." Even today, we may rationalize the agreement by thinking that IBM and MS remain on a path to divorce (merely papered over). While that is true, I would point out that IBM and we do NOT share the same goals. IBM sells hardware, not software, and needs Lotus less and less.

A strong position calls for diplomacy AND preparations for war. Admittedly it is hard to marshal sales and marketing to "sell what's available today" and at the same time keep top management focussed on contingencies -- but that is what we are paid to do.

The next battle is over what Microsoft calls "Application Architecture". (see note below) This actually started in MS's response to our (Lotus-Wordperfect) DDE standards effort. The threat is that we are left outside the pale, and all of MS's products fit this neat umbrella. Frankly, we have little today to offer. We do not have the market presence that would let us marshal other apps developers to an alternative standard with similar functions (for example, New Wave).

I have in mind an application architecture based in Windows that is "spreadsheet based". That is, we expand our concept of our business from "rows and columns of numbers" to "Smart Paper", with a sequence of evolutionary steps. The key to this is taking MS's extensible Compound Document Architecture (eCDA) and making it the fundamental method for incorporating information into a spreadsheet.

For a lot of reasons, a spreadsheet is a better host for compound documents than is a word processor. I'd be happy to expound on why, but take it on faith from a spreadsheet bigot.


The result, if we do it right, is an application (most explicitly NOT an "environment") that is simultaneously the "world's best spreadsheet" and the "user's primary product". Consider the similarity between a desktop publisher (Pagemaker) and a spreadsheet with Impress-like draw layer. If your desktop publisher had formulas and macros that could manipulate and automate the production of documents, but is also just good at calculating with words or numbers, you have a universal and more importantly an elegantly simple metaphor.

While our current business problems are not readily susceptible to product design, the design and timely realization of this concept is what I think I can best contribute to Lotus's long-term success. I'd be happy to spend some time with each of you discussing the best way to do it.

(note) The other area of major contention between Lotus and MS's apps business relates to the "entry-level GUI spreadsheet". I.E. -- where do new users first learn about a spreadsheet, assuming that most new users are fitted out with Windows or the Mac as their standard environment. In the WP area, you get

LOTUS

002472



EMail To/From David Reed: S43.LTR

Page 1

Plaintiff's Exhibit

9024

Comes V. Microsoft

-----CONFIDENTIAL IBM 0610003535

IBM 7510240047

either MS Windows Write or MacWrite for FREE with the system. There is an obvious upgrade path that relates to "ease of learning the next one" that leads to MS Word in both cases. Similarly, there is the "integrated"/Works approach.

One obvious thing is to make sure that Apple includes a "good enough" entry level spreadsheet with each Mac sold. (there is some reason to believe that they might not want to license it from MS)

The other obvious thing is to make a "good enough" spreadsheet for the Windows environment and package it in some integrated package, or get it into IBM's version of Windows 3.0.

I'd be happy to help design the "good enough" spreadsheet, also.

LOTUS 002473

E-Mail To/From David Reed: S43.LTR

Page 2

-----CONFIDENTIAL IBM 0610003536-----

IBM 7510240048