

#####

789

From davidcol Wed Jan 30 22:32:09 1991

To: bradsi.davidw

Subject: Re: hunter systems

Date: Wed Jan 30 22:30:05 1991

Windows everywhere right? Making Windows a DPMI client will make this easier.

#####

790

From davidcol Wed Jan 30 22:34:10 1991

To: anthony's bradsi

Subject: afx

Date: Wed Jan 30 22:32:39 1991

Ya, you know what Neil did to make it faster? He replaced our window manager with a ported PM window manager. It's only 10% faster, the other 10% came from stuff david's already did.

Can you imagine how destabilizing this is to the core product, not to mention all the subtle differences that will break apps.

#####

791

From davidcol Wed Jan 30 22:52:14 1991

To: bradsi.darryl.peterm

Subject: RE: Windows 3.1 Slip

Cc: mikemap

Date: Wed Jan 30 22:50:27 1991

OK, here's the deal. Maarkwa and I will think this through one last time. We'll come up with estimates for all the work involved from our side, ie testing, documentation, etc. We'll also ask for a single RC.EXE that kicks out a help 3.0 file by default, and a help 3.5 file only when a special switch is used. The doc hit could be big, I don't know how different the two authoring methods are of at all.

If apps is willing to kick in a body or 2 to get this done, including docs and testing, then we can consider.

Plaintiff's Exhibit

9508

Comes V. Microsoft

MS 5051904
CONFIDENTIAL

Yes Mike, I am worried that MS apps is determined to ship help 3.5 no matter what. This means there are either 2 help engines in the system, or/and I have ISVs all over my back because MS apps is doing this, which will eventually force my team to ship this as a fulfillment item.

If MS apps can't kick in the resources to get this work done, then I hope you will consider not using help 3.5 in upcoming MS apps.

Sound like a reasonable way to proceed?

David

#####

792

From davidcol Wed Jan 30 22:52:15 1991

To: bradsi

Subject: quarterly review

Date: Wed Jan 30 22:51:24 1991

I was counting on this...thanks

MS 5051905
CONFIDENTIAL