From tomle Fri Apr 28 06:58:38 1989

To: chrisbr fernandd franzr jodys markche mikedr philba russw tomle

Cc: steveb

Subject: Boca - OS/2 Review Summary Date: Thu Jun 25 16:49:54 PDT 1992

You will each recieve a copy of the foils as they currently exist. If you have any problems you should get them quickly to your team partner in Boca or myself. The first IBM Management review will be held here in Redmond Monday 5/1/89.

A full compliment of OS/2 and Dos divisions were represented by the 14 people attending this meeting. Included were test, architecture and planning from both OS/2 and Dos. The list of attendees is attached to your copy of the foils.

Bluegrass:

"Must have elements of Captain" - As supplied by Vicky Johnson based on converstaions with Lexington

Schedule - 9/90 1MB Operation Exclusive Mode Multimedia

## Captain:

The Boca OS/2 team is aggressively trying to assert control over this project. They are nervous about this This most important element of the concern is a disagreement about what is meant by No MVDM. OS/2 takes this to mean a single app, either windows or Dos. We argued this concern but it was left as Vicky's item to dicuss with Steele for clarification.

Other Boca OS/2 Concerns:

- Not addressing any floppy boot problem
- Proposed Enhanced utilities, Dos can't have em unless OS/2 can.
- Dos Pinball and Long File Names, media interchange issue
- SDK Issues Which Compiler

What tools are in this

- Single Source DBCS Version requirement
- NLS Consistency between Dos and Windows
- Printer/Display Drivers The set in Dos should not be > OS/2

Tom

From russw Fri Apr 28 07:24:46 1989

To: paulma

Cc: russw tomle Subject: uh oh..

Date: Thu Jun 25 16:49:54 PDT 1992

X 566010 CONFIDENTIAL

WinMail 1.21

lynnra

Thu Jun 25 16:45:59 1992

Page: 143

Plaintiff's Exhibit

9522

Comes V. Microsoft

tom lennon just got back from boca where he was working on developing the dos/win merge task lists/sizings.

apparently, there was a meeting down there with some group of os/2 guys and all the os/2 folks insist that no mvdm means no more than one task can run at a time--including windows apps.

Is loukes still here today? we need to get him to buy off on no mvdm means no mulitasking of dos apps which is what it means.

Also, apparently Roy Claessen has become enamored with supporting VCPI in the Dos limulators. There is big VCPI conference this week to upgrade the spec. VCPI allows multiple dos extended apps to cooperate in memory. It really is a spec for letting Desqview act as the mulitasking manager in a Dos Extended environment. I view this as the single most dangerous threat to os/2. VCPI has no value outside of Desqview. This would endors Desqview as a strategic environment. We ought to cover this off with Loukes too. Billg just squashed Intel endorsing VCPI with Lotus at the INtel 486 announce.

these fucking guys are soooo random.

please call me or something.

From ibmboca?thorson Fri Apr 28 07:26:47 1989 To: tomle Subject: Testing

Date: Thu Jun 25 16:49:56 PDT 1992

DOS Architecture

From: Derral Thorson

T/L 982-6517 \*\*\*\* IBM CONFIDENTIAL \*\*\*\*

Just a note to see if this works.

THORSON at BCRVMPC1 (T/L 982-6517)
Bldg 227, Room B219

From ibmboca?allran Fri Apr 28 07:30:51 1989 To: philba tomle Subject: Busmaster Interface

Date: Thu Jun 25 16:49:56 PDT 1992

FROM: Gary Allran TIE 982-6511 (407) 982-6511 CLASSIFICATON: IBM CONFIDENTIAL Tom, Phil,

Here is some documentation on our proposal for this interface. Sorry for the formatting problems ... still haven't worked out all the bugs in the Bookmaster-PROFS interface yet.

PHYSICAL ADDRESS SERVICES

X 566011 CONFIDENTIAL

WinMail 1.21

Gary

lynnra

Thu Jun 25 16:45:59 1992

Page: 144